MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

PRESIDENT
PETER YORK
J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES LLC

FIRST VICE PRESIDENT JENNIFER PALMER GERBER FINANCE, INC.

VICE PRESIDENT - FINANCE BARRY BOBROW WELLS FARGO CAPITAL FINANCE

CO-GENERAL COUNSEL
JONATHAN HELFAT
OTTERBOURG P.C.

CO-GENERAL COUNSEL
BOBBI ACORD NOLAND
PARKER, HUDSON, RAINER &
DOBBS LLP

CEO & SECRETARY RICHARD GUMBRECHT, SECURED FINANCE NETWORK

Secured Finance Network

November 29, 2021

The Honorable Blaine Luetkemeyer Ranking Member Committee on Small Business U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Re: Covid-19 Economic Injury Disaster Loan Program Deficiencies

Dear Representative Luetkemeyer:

The membership of SFNet wishes to thank you for all of your efforts to provide oversight of the Small Business Administration ("SBA") COVID-19 Economic Injury Disaster Loan ("EIDL") program as well as support for your legislation to prohibit the SBA from directly making loans under the 7(a) loan program.

The Secured Finance Network (<u>www.sfnet.com</u>) is the international trade organization of the asset-based lending industry, founded in 1944, representing the asset-based lending, factoring, trade and supply chain finance industries, with over 280 member organizations throughout the United States, Canada and around the world. Our members include regulated money center banks, independent finance companies, community banks, factors and leasing companies which provide hundreds of billions of dollars annually in working capital to thousands of small businesses throughout the United States.

While SFNet supported the overarching goal of the SBA's COVID-19 EIDL program of providing working capital to the small business borrower adversely affected by COVID-19 we believe that the EIDL program was designed to be emergency relief and that this emergency has long since passed. America is back to work and therefore the EIDL program should be immediately

Error! Unknown document property name.

discontinued. Any new originations should be limited to the EIDL Advance program, targeting only the most disadvantaged borrowers and recognizing that these are grants and not loans.

The EIDL program was, we believe, designed to make loans to small business that could not secure meaningful working capital elsewhere and therefore this constituency should be the only borrowers deserving of the benefits of the EIDL program. However, as EIDL is currently administered by the SBA the program is a resource for borrowers who are in fact creditworthy and who can and do borrower from private lenders at market rates. These borrowers seek to borrower under the EIDL program solely because of the "cheap money" being offered by the SBA at below market rates and not because there is no other way for these small businesses to obtain working capital. As you know, no market lender can compete with the SBA who offers, under the EIDL program, up to \$2,000,000 on 30-year terms at an interest rate of 3.75% and with a two-year grace period before the initial repayment is due. If further proof is necessary; many of our members extend loans and advances to these very same businesses and in amounts that are far in excess of the EIDL facility and regard the SBA as their direct competitor. For this reason alone, we believe the EIDL program should be terminated.

As the EIDL program was intended for small business that could not otherwise obtain working capital; the terms of the EIDL prohibit a private lender from making priority loans to the EIDL borrower senior to the SBA without the SBA's consent.

The SBA having recognized that the EDIL program was being used (or abused) by borrower who had access to the private credit market has been willing in principle to subordinate its EIDL facility in favor of the borrower's private lender. However, in practice, this is not occurring except in limited circumstances due to the disparate administration of the EIDL program by the various SBA regional administrators. In practice the requested subordination agreements which have been requested by private lenders have ranged from acceptable to totally unacceptable.

A few examples may help illustrate the point.

It appears that the Texas regional offices of the SBA are willing to agree to subordination language that is substantially similar to the terms requested by the private lender while the Birmingham, Alabama office is not and has its own form of subordination, which is narrow in scope and unacceptable to most lenders as this regional office will only subordinate the EIDL facility to the private lender's liens on "accounts" and "inventory," but not "proceeds," which not only is contrary to the provisions of the UCC, but provides little, if any, protection for the private lender. However, these terms are "non-negotiable" according to the Birmingham regional administrator.

Other regional offices of the SBA require a partial pay down of the EIDL before agreeing to consider the requested subordination.

If this were not enough, other regional offices provide that the form of subordination must provide that the subordination is void if the SBA is not given 30 days written notice upon an event of default occurring under the terms of the private credit agreement before the lender can take any enforcement actions as provided for in its credit agreement. Waiting the thirty days works against the SBA's best interests and the private lender's best interest as it allows, for example, a borrower with fraudulent intent to circumvent the previously agreed upon collection procedures to its own uses with the private lender and the SBA being unable to stop the dissipation of the collateral for 30 days.

As a result of this varied treatment of subordination requests, the EIDL borrower is being denied access to the much-needed funds, which otherwise would be available from its private lender. And this is not the only impediment to the requested subordination language. The regional offices uniformly take weeks and sometimes months to consider granting the requested subordination. Therefore, even if the requested subordination agreement is eventually forthcoming in a form acceptable to the private lender, it may come too late to be of maximum value as few borrowers have the ability to wait until the SBA has finished its process.

We therefore request that, regardless of whether the EIDL program is terminated, that the SBA consider adopting a uniform subordination agreement to be used for outstanding EIDL loans nationwide. It is our understanding that to adopt such a form would not require any statutory or regulatory changes. We enclose such a form (attached and below), which has been previously approved by the SBA in at least one of its regional offices and is also acceptable to our members. We look forward to discussing adopting such a form at your earliest convenience.

Finally, we wish to add our strong support for H.R. 6037, your legislation that would prohibit the SBA Administrator from directly making loans under the 7(a) loan program. We are opposed to the provisions of the Build Back Better legislation which allow the SBA to make direct loans to small businesses where there is a vibrant private sector willing to make such advances and at market rates. We see this as a wasteful and unnecessary over-reach that competes directly with available free market sources of capital.

Thank you for the opportunity of allowing SFNet to set forth its observations and proposal for a uniform nationwide subordination agreement which, in our opinion, would provide the COVID-19 EIDL borrower with the greatest amount of working capital.

Sincerely,

Richard Gumbrecht Chief Executive Officer

Error! Unknown document property name.

Secured Finance Network

SUBORDINATION AGREEMENT

THIS SUBORDINATION AGREEMENT, dated as of "Agreement") is by and between U.S. SMALL BUSINE as the "SBA") and	SS ADMINISTRATION (hereinafter referred to
, (the "Debtor") is in credit. In order to secure the full repayment of all su credit, the SBA has perfected a security interest in the Uniform Commercial Code as in effect in the State on "Uniform Commercial Code").	ims due in connection with such extensions of ne Collateral (as defined below) under the
The Bank has granted to the Debtor a term loan/line	·
"Bank Credit Facility"). The Bank, in connection with credit, has perfected, or will perfect, its security inte assets and personal property of the Debtor which shall the Collateral.	such credit facilities and other extensions of crest under the Uniform Commercial Code in all
The Bank and the SBA desire to establish the prioriti Collateral pursuant to the terms and provisions of the	-
NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises	and the mutual covenants herein contained,

<u>Incorporation of Recitals</u>. Recitals. A through C, above, are incorporated herein by this reference, and the parties acknowledge and agree that the information recited above is true and

<u>Definition of Collateral</u>. "Collateral" means all of the following described personal property of the Debtor, whether now or hereafter existing or now owned or hereafter acquired and wherever located, of every kind and description, including all accounts receivable, inventory, furniture, fixtures, and machinery & equipment, excluding automotive, together with all substitutions, accessions, additions, and the proceeds and products thereof.

<u>Lien Subordination</u>. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the SBA hereby acknowledges and agrees that the security interest and lien of the Bank in the Collateral is senior to and has priority over the security interest and lien of the SBA in the Collateral. The SBA hereby confirms the foregoing subordination in favor of the Bank is effective regardless of the relative time of attachment or perfection of the security interest or the order of filing of financing statements or other security documents or anything in the security agreement or other credit documents executed in connection therewith to the contrary.

<u>Postponement of Enforcement Rights by the SBA</u>. So long as any obligation of the Debtor to the Bank seemed by the Collateral shall remain unsatisfied, SBA will not take any action to

Error! Unknown document property name.

the parties hereto agree as follows:

correct.

realize on, repossess or otherwise foreclose upon any of the Collateral, including contacting or attempting to collect from the Debtor's accounts, without Bank's prior written consent. In the event SBA receives any payment in violation of this agreement, from any liquidation, disposition or sale, such payment shall first be delivered to the Bank in satisfaction and to the extent of the indebtedness of the Debtor to the Bank outstanding in respect of the Bank Credit Facilities.

<u>Non-Contest of this Agreement</u>. The SBA agrees that it will not at any time contest the validity or enforceability of the terms of this Agreement (including, without limitation, the Bank's senior, prior security interest and lien in the Collateral).

<u>Effectiveness of Agreement</u>. This Agreement shall remain in effect until written notice of its termination is given by the Bank to the SBA. This Agreement is solely for the purpose of establishing the priorities between the SBA and the Bank, and their respective successors and assigns, and no other person or persons shall have any right, benefit, priority or interest under, or because of the existence of this Agreement.

<u>Counterpart Originals: Amendments</u>. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterpart originals, which taken together, shall constitute one fully executed instrument. Any signature delivered by a party by facsimile transmission or electronic means shall be deemed to be an original signature hereto. This Agreement may be amended or modified only by an instrument in writing signed by or on behalf of each of the parties hereto.

Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and constructed in accordance with the laws of the State of Washington without regard to the conflicts of law principles thereof. Unless the context otherwise requires, all terms used herein which are defined in the Uniform Commercial Code shall have the meaning therein stated.

<u>Integration</u>. This Agreement contains the entire agreement between the SBA and the Bank with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes any other agreement in writing or otherwise with respect to the subject matter hereof.

<u>Further Assurances</u>. The SBA agrees to execute such other documents or agreements as the Bank may reasonably request from time to time in order to effectuate the purposes of this Agreement.

<u>Notices</u>. All notices required or permitted to be given hereunder shall be in writing and shall be hand delivered or sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, or express courier service, in all cases with postage or other charges paid by the sender, and shall be addressed as follows:

	If to the Bank:	
	If to the SBA:	
Error! Unknown document property name.	6	

or to such other addresses as the addressee shall have specified by notice given in compliance with this Section. Notice shall be deemed given upon receipt by the party to whom such notice is directed.

WAIVER OF JURY TRIAL. EACH PARTY HERETO WAIVES, TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, TRIAL BY JURY IN ANY LITIGATION IN ANY COURT WITH RESPECT TO, IN CONNECTION WITH, OR ARISING OUT OF THIS AGREEMENT OR ANY INSTRUMENT OR DOCUMENT DELIVERED PURSUANT HERETO, OR ANY OTHER CLAIM OR DISPUTE HOWSOEVER ARISING, BETWEEN ANY OF THE PARTIES HERETO. EACH OF THE PARTIES HERETO CONFIRMS THAT THE FOREGOING WAIVERS ARE INFORMED AND FREELY MADE.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each party had caused this Agreement to be duty executed and delivered by its duly authorized officer as of the day and year first above written.

SBA:	BANK;
U.S. SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	[NAME OF BANK]
By:	By:
Name:	Name:
Title:	Title: